## REMARKS

Claims 1, 4-6, 9, 10, 16 and 94-99 were pending. Claims 94, 95 and 97 are withdrawn. Claim 1 has been amended to remove the reference to SEQ ID NO: 3. Claims 9 and 96 has been canceled without prejudice. Upon entry of this amendment claims 1, 4-6, 16, 98 and 99 are subject to examination. No new matter has been added. Applicants expressly reserve the right to present additional claims in further applications. All amendments and cancelations are made without prejudice or disclaimer.

## 35 U.S.C. 112, First Paragraph, Enablement Requirement

Claims 1, 4-6, 9, 16 and 94-99 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, enablement, as allegedly failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The Office's enablement rejection is dependent upon the previous recitation of SEQ ID NO: 3. The claims have been amended to no longer recite SEQ ID NO: 3. Accordingly, the rejection is moot. The presently claimed invention is enabled because one of skill in the art would be able to practice the claimed invention without undue experimentation. The Office has not cited a reference to establish a reasonable basis to doubt the enablement of the presently claimed invention. Accordingly, the claims are enabled.

In view of the foregoing, Applicants respectfully request that the rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, be withdrawn.

## CONCLUSION

Applicant has timely filed this response. In the event that an additional fee is required for this response, the Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge such fees to Deposit Account No. 50-0436

Should the Examiner have any questions or comments, or need any additional information from Applicants' attorney, he is invited to contact the undersigned at his convenience.

Respectfully submitted,

Daniel M. Bestinto

Daniel M. Scolnick, Ph.D. Registration No. 52,201

PEPPER HAMILTON LLP One Mellon Center, 50th Floor 500 Grant Street

Pittsburgh, PA 15219 Phone: (610) 640-7820 Fax: (412) 281-0717

Date: November 12, 2009